COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFULA, SS.	SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT
MARCIA RHODES, HAROLD RHODES, Individually and on Behalf of His Minor Child and Next Friend, REBECCA RHODES,	
Plaintiffs,)
v. AIG DOMESTIC CLAIMS, INC. f/k/a AIG TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC., NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA, and ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-1360-BLS2 (Judge Gants))
Defendants.))

AIG DOMESTIC CLAIMS, INC. F/K/A AIG TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.'S AND NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTFFS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION

AIG Domestic Claims, Inc. and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh,
PA ("Defendants") respectfully submit this opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for clarification of
this Court's Order dated February 2, 2006 ("Order"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1.
The Order sets forth a revised discovery schedule in contemplation of the Defendants' intention
to pursue an appeal before the Single Justice of an earlier discovery order dated January 23,
2006. Acknowledging that Defendants' legitimate exercise of its right to pursue such appeal
would necessarily entail time, and thus could implicate the parties' ability to complete discovery
on a timely basis, this Court advised:

¹ Defendants have since filed and served a Petiton for Interlocutory Review on February 22, 2006.

Although the discovery deadline remains set for close of business on July 24, 2006, in view of this postponement, this Court will extend it to September 8, 2006 if counsel so requests.

Order, Paragraph 5.

The Court's unambiguous Order requires no clarification, and there is simply no basis for Plaintiffs' crabbed interpretation of it—i.e., that the Court intended for them, alone, to have the opportunity to seek an extension of the discovery deadline to September 8, 2006, if such should become necessary. The Court took time craft an explicit Order. Had it desired to implement the baseless limitation that Plaintiffs espouse, certainly it would have done so in terms that (like the present Order) require no creative interpretation. It did not do so because such would amount to punishing Defendants for exercising their legitimate right of appeal as provided by the Rules of Civil Procedure.

Plaintiffs continue to imply that Defendants are somehow engaging in improper delay tactics. If delay is truly Plaintiffs' concern, perhaps they should refrain from burdening the parties and the Court with the time and expense of responding to frivolous motion practice such as this (and their pending motion for sanctions).

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Court deny the "clarification" sought by Plaintiffs' motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Defendants,

AIG Domestic Claims, Inc. f/k/a AIG Technical Services, Inc. and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA,

By their counsel,

Mark E. Cohen (8Pm)

Mark E. Cohen, BBO #089800 Stephen D. Rosenberg, BBO #558415 Robert J. Maselek, BBO #564690 **The McCormack Firm, LLC** One International Place – 7th Floor Boston, MA 02110 (617) 951-2929 (6117) 951-2672 (Fax)

Dated: February 27, 2006

Anthony R. Zelle, BBO #548141
Brian P. McDonough, BBO #637999
Zelle McDonough LLP (Co-Counsel)
Four Longfellow Place – 35th Floor
Boston, MA 02114
(617) 742-6520

(617) 742-6320 (617) 973-1562 (Fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Brian P. McDonough, certify that on this 27th day of February, 2006, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be served by first class mail upon the following:

Daniel J. Brown Brown, Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111 Counsel for Marcia Rhodes, Harold Rhodes, and Rebecca Rhodes

Robert J. Maselek, Jr.
The McCormack Firm
One International Place
Boston, MA 02110
Co-Counsel for AIG Domestic Claims,
Inc. and National Union Fire Ins. Co.

Danielle Andrews Long Robinson & Cole LLP One Boston Place Boston, MA 02108 (617) 557-5900 Counsel for Zurich American Insurance Co.

Brian P. McDonough